

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			4
FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
01/24/2002	Steven B. Daum	1814-0003	2356
04/15/2004		EXAMINER	
LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG, KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK 600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST WESTFIELD, NJ 07090		HIRL, JOSEPH P	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2121	, :
		DATE MAILED: 04/15/2004	3 :
	01/24/2002 04/15/2004 ID, LITTENBERG, MENTLIK ENUE WEST	01/24/2002 Steven B. Daum  04/15/2004  ID, LITTENBERG, MENTLIK ENUE WEST	01/24/2002 Steven B. Daum 1814-0003  04/15/2004 EXAMI  ID, LITTENBERG,  MENTLIK ENUE WEST J 07090 2121

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		AZY		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	10/056,147	DAUM, STEVEN B.	UM, STEVEN B.	
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Joseph P. Hirl	2121		
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ron. , a reply within the statutory minimum of third period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a)  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)       Since this application is in condition for al closed in accordance with the practice un	This action is non-final.  Iowance except for formal matt	-		
Disposition of Claims				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a				
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa 10) The drawing(s) filed on 24 January 2002 is Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the compact of the control of the c	s/are: a) $\boxtimes$ accepted or b) $\square$ of the drawing(s) be held in abeyand orrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in A e priority documents have been sureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage		
·				
Attachment(s)				
<ul> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4.</li> </ul>	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 		

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/056,147

Art Unit: 2121

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. Claims 1-23 are pending in this case.
- 2. The claims and only the claims form the metes and bounds of the invention. "Office personnel are to give the claims their broadest reasonable interpretation in light of the supporting disclosure. *In re Morris,* 127 F.3d 1048, 1054-55, 44USPQ2d 1023, 1027-28 (Fed. Cir. 1997). Limitations appearing in the specification but not recited in the claim are not read into the claim. *In re Prater,* 415 F.2d, 1393, 1404-05, 162 USPQ 541, 550-551 (CCPA 1969)" (MPEP p 2100-8, c 2, l 45-48; p 2100-9, c 1, l 1-4). The Examiner has full latitude to interpret each claim in the broadest reasonable sense. Examiner will reference prior art using terminology familiar to one of ordinary skill in the art. Such an approach is broad in concept and can be either explicit or implicit in meaning.

## 3. Examiner's Opinion:

Para 2 above applies. The Examiner has full latitude to interpret each claim in the broadest reasonable sense. The concept of data processing via computers, processors linked via a network is generic.

Application/Control Number: 10/056,147 Page 3

Art Unit: 2121

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1- 6 and 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The language of the claim raises a question as to whether the claim is directed merely to an abstract idea that is not tied to a technological art, environment or machine which would result in a practical application producing a concrete, useful, and tangible result to form the basis of statutory subject matter under 35 U.S.C. 101.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 1-6 and 15-16 are rejected under 35 USC 112, first paragraph because current case law (and accordingly, the MPEP) require such a rejection if a 101 rejection

Art Unit: 2121

is given because when Applicant has not in fact disclosed the practical application for the invention, as a matter of law there is no way Applicant could have disclosed how to practice the undisclosed practical application. This is how the MPEP puts it:

("The how to use prong of section 112 incorporates as a matter of law the requirement of 35U.S.C. 101 that the specification disclose as a matter of fact a practical utility for the invention.... If the application fails as a matter of fact to satisfy 35 U.S.C. 101, then the application also fails as a matter of law to enable one of ordinary skill in the art to use the invention under 35 U.S.C. § 112."); In re Kirk, '376 F.2d 936, 942, 153 USIPQ 48, 53 (CCPA 1967) ("Necessarily, compliance with § 112 requires a description of how to use presently useful inventions, otherwise an applicant would anomalously be required to teach how to use a useless invention."). See, MPEP 21107.01 (IV), quoting In re Kirk (emphasis added).

7. Therefore, claims 1-6 and 15-16 are rejected on this basis.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 9. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yagesh et al (U. S. Pub. 2003/0171939, referred to as **Yagesh**).

Art Unit: 2121

#### Claim 1

Yagesh anticipates obtaining voluntary consent from a first passenger to perform a background check on the first passenger (Yagesh, p 0173; Examiner's Note (EN): voluntary consent is provided upon making of reservation); b) formulating a data record associated with the first passenger if the passenger passes the background check (Yagesh, p 0173; Fig. 6); c) obtaining biologically oriented identification data associated with the first passenger (Yagesh, Fig. 6; EN: photo, sex, nationality, etc.); (d) storing the biologically oriented identification data in the data record (Yagesh, Fig. 6; EN: PTIDN repository); e) prior to the passenger aircraft flight, obtaining biological oriented measurement data from a person purporting to be the first passenger (Yagesh, p 0208; EN: individual questioning will secure biological oriented data, i.e. visual identification, voice stress, etc.); f)comparing the biologically oriented measurement data to the biologically oriented identification data (Yagesh, p 0209); g) selectively permitting the person to participate in the passenger aircraft light based on the comparison (Yagesh, p 0209; EN: selectively determined based on intelligence data).

#### Claim 2

Yagesh anticipates step b) further comprises formulating a data record associated with the first passenger if and only if the passenger passes the background check (Yagesh, p 0181; EN: passing means to be of interest).

Art Unit: 2121

#### Claim 3

Yagesh anticipates storing, positive screening results data in the data record if and only if the passenger passes the background check (**Yagesh**, p 0181; EN: passing means to be of interest).

#### Claims 4, 14, 21, 22

Yagesh anticipates step e) further comprises associating the person with a first fractional aircraft owner (Yagesh, p 0181, 0116).

#### Claim 5

Yagesh anticipates c) further comprises scanning a portion of the person to gather the biological oriented measurement data (Yagesh, p 0209; EN: a picture represents a scan to gather biological oriented measurement data).

#### Claim 6

Yagesh anticipates the passenger aircraft flight is one of a set of regular repeating scheduled flights (Yagesh, p 0177).

#### Claim 7

Yagesh anticipates a) a memory (Yagesh, Claim 8); b) a communication device (Yagesh, Claim 9); c) a processing circuit (Yagesh, Claim 8) operable to: formulate and store in the memory a data record associate with a first passenger, the data record including an indication that the first passenger has passed a background check, the data record further including biologically oriented identification data associated with the first passenger(Yagesh, Claim 8; p 0209); receive biological oriented measurement data associated with a person (Yagesh, p 0209); compare the biologically oriented

Art Unit: 2121

measurement data to the biologically oriented identification data in the data record (Yagesh, Claim 8; p 0208, 0209); and provide an indication signal to the communication device, the communication signal operable to cause the communication device to provide a human perceivable indicia representative of the result of the comparison (Yagesh, Claim 9).

#### Claim 8

Yagesh anticipates the processing circuit includes more than one processor (Yagesh, Claim 8).

#### Claim 9

Yagesh anticipates the processing circuit includes more than one processor connected via network (Yagesh, Claim 8; p 0015).

### Claims 10, 19, 20

Yagesh anticipates the more that one processors are connected via the Internet (Yagesh, p 0015).

#### Claims 11, 13

Yagesh anticipates the communication device includes a visible display (Yagesh, p 0015; EN: computers have visible displays called monitors).

#### Claim 12

Yagesh anticipates the communication device includes an audible sound generator (Yagesh, p 0015; EN: computers have visible displays called monitors with sound generators as standard equipment).

Art Unit: 2121

#### Claim 15

Yagesh anticipates obtaining voluntary consent from a first passenger to perform a background check on the first passenger (Yagesh, p 0173; Examiner's Note (EN): voluntary consent is provided upon making of reservation); b) formulating a data record associated with the first passenger if the passenger passes the background check (Yagesh, p 0173; Fig. 6); c) scheduling the passenger aircraft flight as one of a plurality of regular scheduled flights (Yagesh, p 0184); (d) prior to the passenger aircraft flight, determining whether a person is associated with a formulated data record (Yagesh, Claim 8); e) denying participation by the person in the passenger aircraft flight if the person is not associated with a formulated data record (Yagesh, claim 8).

#### Claim 16

Yagesh anticipates wherein step d) includes: gathering biologically oriented measurement data from the person (Yagesh, p 0209); comparing the biologically oriented measurement data to biologically oriented identification data previously stored within the data record (Yagesh, p 0208; 0209).

#### Claim 17

Yagesh anticipates a) a memory (Yagesh, Claim 8); b) a first processing circuit (Yagesh, Claim 8) operable to: formulate and store in the memory a data record associate with a each of a plurality of passenger, each data record including an indication that the associated passenger has passed a background check (Yagesh, Claim 8; EN: incorporated into shared data bases); c) a second processing circuit operable to receive a signal from an input circuit indicative of an identification of a

Art Unit: 2121

person, the person attempting to participate in an aircraft flight (Yagesh, Claim 8); determining whether the signal correlates to one of the plurality the data records (Yagesh, Claim 8); and provide an indication signal to the communication device, the communication signal operable to cause the communication device to provide a human perceivable indicia representative of the result of the comparison (Yagesh, Claim 9).

#### Claim 18

Yagesh anticipates the first processing circuit and the second processing circuit constitute a single processing device (Yagesh, 0015; EN: computers are multiple processing devices).

#### Claim 23

Yagesh anticipates indication is the existence of the data record (Yagesh, Claim 8).

#### Conclusion

- 10. The prior art of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - Alberte, U.S. Patent 6,692,696
  - Hoffberg et al, U.S. Patent 6,400,996
  - Broadhurst, U.S. Patent 5,278,418
  - 11. Claims 1-23 are rejected.

Art Unit: 2121

## Correspondence Information

12. Any inquiry concerning this information or related to the subject disclosure should be directed to the Examiner, Joseph P. Hirl, whose telephone number is (703) 305-1668. The Examiner can be reached on Monday – Thursday from 6:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Anthony Knight can be reached at (703) 308-3179.

Any response to this office action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks,

Washington, D. C. 20231;

or faxed to:

(703) 746-7239 (for formal communications intended for entry); or faxed to:

(703) 746-7290 (for informal or draft communications with notation of "Proposed" or "Draft" for the desk of the Examiner).

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Receptionist, Crystal Park II

2121 Crystal Drive,

Arlington, Virginia.

Joseph P. Hirl

April 14, 2004